



Ginny Barnes, MVWMA
Mow-Soung Cheng, PG Co./DER
Emery T. Cleaves, MGS*
Brian Clevenger, MDE*
Ken Belt, Baltimore LTER*
Tony Allred, MDNR/TEA*
Christine Buckley, Harford Co.*
Jim Cummins, ICPRB*
Paul t. Jacobson, Langhei Ecology*
Charles Kanetsky, USEPA/Region III*
Carl Weber, UMBC*

Ronald J. Klauda, MDNR/MANTA*
Raymond P. Morgan, UMCEES/AEL
Steve Stewart, Baltimore Co./DER*
Frances Flanigan, Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay
Nancy Roth, Versar*
Robert J. Shedlock, USGS
Adel Shirmohammadi, UMD/CP
William P. Stack, Baltimore City/WAMO*
Barbara Taylor-Suit, SOS
Keith Van Ness, Montgomery Co./DER

Executive Secretary: Dr. Paul Miller, MDNR/MANTA
Guests: Glenn Moglen, UMD/College Park
Kathy Ellett, Citizen
Marcia Smith, MDR/WMA
Claire Buchanan, ICPRB

MINUTES JANUARY 22, 2001

Members Present: MWMC Board of Directors present at this meeting are indicated by an asterisk (*) above.

Guests Attending: Kathy Ellett; Glen Moglen, UMD/CP; Gary Fisher, USGS/WRD

Introductions and Opening Remarks - Emery Cleaves

Chairman Cleaves welcomed new members Ken Belt, Tony Allred, Christine Buckley, Jim Cummins, Carl Weber, Steve Stewart and Nancy Roth to the Board of Directors.

There were corrections to the October 30, 2000 minutes from Bill Stack and Ron Klauda. The minutes were approved with corrections.

Report of the Nominating Committee - Paul Jacobson

The nominating committee, consisting of Paul Jacobson, George Harman and Kathy Ellett nominated Emery Cleaves as Chairman and Keith Van Ness as Vice-Chairman for another (third) term. A unanimous vote from those present confirmed their

tenure.

Report on the Annual Meeting Evaluation Form - Kathy Ellett

Fifty-six people completed and returned the evaluation form of the Annual Meeting. Kathy Ellett distributed the evaluation form with the responses summarized. Evaluation results will be given to the Planning Committee and are discussed briefly here.

Discussion on Question 1, #2

What is the dissatisfaction with the methods that are available? Should MWMC identify the methods that are out there and publish on web site (newsletter?). Brian Clevenger thinks these people may want to be shown how to monitor. Publication of reports already generated by the Monitoring Methods Committee will be located by Brian.

Proposed resolution, the MWMC could issue a 1 page reference to Guidance Documents.

A Council workgroup could undertake to identify places where training and workshops can be obtained. The last time a Sampling Design workshop was run in May 2000. Perhaps a "message board? On our website would be effective.

Steve Stewart responded that his top item on the list is #4, Coordinate with CBP. This led to discussion on how the PCC is already doing this.

Small Watershed Workgroup - Glenn Moglen

Glen Moglen and Jeff Raffensberger are co-chairs of the Small Watershed Studies Workgroup. Glenn joined the workgroup in 1999 and they developed a database of small watershed restoration projects. This project addressed a need to have a central area to share information on stream restoration.

Progress of the SWSW is held back by:

- lack of inspiration
- lack of time
- lack of purpose
- lack of mandate

Presently, Glenn thinks they are without direction. He asks the Board of Directors to provide some guidance. What would make the workgroup worthwhile? He asked that the Board suggest a task that takes advantage of the unique group?

Bill Stack stated that NPDES storm water plan in Baltimore City is based upon watersheds that are smaller than 12-digit. Tributary Strategy based upon 8-digit WS. What is state and federal policy with respect to protection of smaller watersheds?

Ken Belt says that the smallness of these watersheds of them makes them amendable to study of processes under single land-use.

Chuck Kanetsky suggested proposing to the 319 grant people at DNR to fund a project within the small watershed workgroup.

Emery has been talking to WRD on getting a groundwater monitoring strategy developed.

Much support among the Council constituency for participation in state-wide monitoring strategy. Board members affiliations are well suited to the process.

Emery feels that Sherm's report on what recommendations were made at out annual meeting will be on important point of engagement for the Council. He requested that the topic be placed on the Agenda for the April 23, 2001 Board Meeting.

Brian Clevenger favors the Council working on issues related to stream restoration because it is within his particular area of interest.

Review of Charter and By-laws Created June 26, 1996 - November 4, 1996 Respectively - All

Emery proposed several changes to the Charter (see working copy 22 Jan. 2001). After much discussion it was concluded that the Charter would not be altered. The By-laws will now be the focus for our modification.

By-laws

Article 3 membership is modified approximately as indicated.

Article 5 is modified to allow a term-ending member to return to the board after one year. (cf p.2) The motion passed with no opposition.

Article 6.2

Brian Clevenger will work on a definitions section which may clear up the confusion between "MWMC" and the "Board of Directors." This problem arose in discussion of Article 6.2.

This discussion was tabled after Brian Clevenger volunteered to develop a definitions section and circulate the By-laws about 2 weeks prior to next Board Meeting.

Programmatic Coordination Committee (PCC) Report - Bill Stack

Committee formed about 18 months ago to address the non-coordination within the Council.

Local data and Bay watershed model output were compared and indicated that contaminant output from urban watersheds was 200% more than values measured by local government. The PCC has developed a "white paper" which recommends a peer review committee to review model output and to establish an oversight committee to review model output and TMDL determination.

Assessment and Reporting Committee Report - Ron Klauda

1. Meeting January 26, 2001 at Patapsco State Park. They will have at least, one workshop. Probably describing what to do once the data are in hand. Case studies will be used to show how monitoring data are used to answer resource management questions.
2. A second idea has to do with comparison of assessments made with state/local data with assessments done by federal government.
3. Stream corridors.

Emery asked that questions 2 & 3 of the questionnaire (Annual Meeting Evaluation) be considered by the next meeting and will be discussed during 30 minutes of the Agenda.

It was recommended that the July Board Meeting be held in Southern Maryland. Soil Conservation group, Kristin Pavlik (Tetra Tech) may help plan the July meeting. Invitees will include the Soil Conservation District.

Lower Potomac and Patuxent Tributary Strategy groups for joint meeting with the Board.

The Chairman adjourned the meeting at 2:30 p.m.